

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/541,690	DUVAL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ganapathy Krishnan.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. James Ruland.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

see continuation sheet

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Informed the attorney of record the following:

1. Claim 75 defines Q and depends from claim 60 but in claim 60 Q has been deleted.
 2. Verification that the structural formula shown at page 6 of the amendment to the claims is formula III referred to in claim 65.
 3. Claims 67 and 68 do not end in a period.
 4. Define the term modified and amend the terms "any organic polymers" in claim 80.
- The attorney of record verified that the structural formula shown at page 6 of the amendments to the claims is formula III and authorized an Examiner's amendment for claims 67, 68, 75 and 80.